arXiv:1609.03026v1 [math.GR] 10 Sep 2016

PRIMITIVE PAIRS OF K-GROUPS

PAUL FLAVELL

Abstract. In *Primitive pairs of p-solvable groups*, J. Algebra 324 (2010) 841–859, the author proved a non existence theorem for certain types of amalgams of p-solvable groups in the presence of operator groups acting coprimely on the groups in the amalgam. An application of that work was a new proof of the Solvable Signalizer Functor Theorem. In this article, the p-solvable restriction will be weakened to a K-group hypothesis. An application of this work will be a new proof of the Nonsolvable Signalizer Functor Theorem.

1. Introduction

The results of [1] will be extended from p-solvable groups to K-groups. Just as an application of [1] is a new proof of the Solvable Signalizer Functor theorem [2], an application of this work will be a new proof of the Nonsolvable Signalizer Functor Theorem. This work is also a continuation of [3] and [4] in which the theory of automorphisms of K-groups is developed.

We will assume familiarity with [1]. However, in order to make the statement of the main result self contained, we remind the reader of the relevant definitions from [1]. Throughout, group will mean finite group.

Definition. Let M be a group and p a prime. Then M *has characteristic* p if $C_M(O_p(M)) \leq O_p(M)$.

Definition. Let G be a group. A *weak primitive pair for* G is a pair (M_1, M_2) of distinct nontrivial subgroups that satisfy:

- whenever $\{ i, j \} = \{ 1, 2 \}$ and $1 \neq K \operatorname{char} M_i$ with $K \leq M_1 \cap M_2$ then $N_{M_j}(K) = M_1 \cap M_2$.

If p is a prime then the weak primitive pair has *characteristic* p if in addition:

- for each i, M_i has characteristic p and $O_p(M_i) \leq M_1 \cap M_2$.

Definition. Suppose the group R acts coprimely on the group G and that p is a prime. Then

$$O_p(G; R)$$

is the intersection of all the R-invariant Sylow p-subgroups of G.

Recall that there do exist R-invariant Sylow p-subgroups of G, the set of which is permuted transitively by $C_G(R)$. Moreover, every R-invariant p-subgroup of G is

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20D45 20D05 20E34 .

A considerable portion of this research was done whilst the author was in receipt of a Leverhulme Research Project grant and during visits to the Mathematisches Seminar, Christian-Albrechts-Universität, Kiel, Germany. The author expresses his thanks to the Leverhulme Trust for their support and to the Mathematisches Seminar for its hospitality.

contained in an R-invariant Sylow p-subgroup of G. Consequently, $O_p(G;R)$ is the unique maximal $RC_G(R)$-invariant p-subgroup of G.

The main result of this paper is the following, which is a generalization of [1, Corollary C].

Theorem A. *Let G be a group and p a prime. The following configuration is impossible:*

- *(M_1, M_2) is a weak primitive pair of characteristic p for G.*
- *M_1 and M_2 are K-groups.*
- *For each i there is an elementary abelian group R_i that acts coprimely on M_i and $O_p(M_1;R_1) = O_p(M_2;R_2)$.*

As in [1], the proof of Theorem A uses ideas of Meierfrankenfeld and Stellmacher [8, 9, 10]. The Corollaries stated and proved in [1] also remain valid but with the p-solvable hypothesis replaced by a K-group hypothesis.

Although Theorem A is adequate for the proposed new proof of the Nonsolvable Signalizer Functor Theorem, it is almost certain that stronger results hold. Trivially, the restriction that the groups R_i be elementary abelian is superfluous. It is included to enable results from [3] and [4] to be used and hence shorten the presentation. More significantly, Theorem A would be a consequence of the following:

Conjecture B. *Let p be a prime. For each p-group $P \neq 1$ there exists a characteristic subgroup $W(P) \neq 1$ with the property: whenever a group R acts coprimely on the group G with G of characteristic p and $P = O_p(G;R)$ then*

$$W(P) \trianglelefteq G.$$

In the case that $p > 3$, the conjecture is known to be true, see [5], the characteristic subgroup in question being Glauberman's subgroup $K^\infty(P)$, which is defined in [6].

2. Preliminaries

Lemma 2.1 (See [3, Theorem 4.1])**.** *Let r be a prime and K a simple K-group whose order is coprime to r.*

(a) *The Sylow r-subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(K)$ are cyclic.*
(b) *If $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(K)$ has order r then $C_{\mathrm{Aut}(K)}(C_K(\alpha))$ is an r-group.*

Lemma 2.2 (See [3, Theorem 4.1])**.** *Let R be a group of prime order r that acts nontrivially and coprimely on the K-group G. Set $K = F^*(G)$ and suppose that K is simple. Let p be a prime and set $P = O_p(G;R)$. The following table lists all the cases in which $P \neq 1$.*

K	$\mathrm{Out}(K)$	$M(K)$	$C_K(R)$	P
$\mathrm{L}_2(2^r)$	r	1	$3:2$	$\mid 2^r + 1 \mid_p$
$\mathrm{L}_2(3^r)$	$2 \times r$	2	$2^2:3$	$p = 2, P = O_2(C_K(R)) \cong 2^2$
$\mathrm{U}_3(2^r)$	$3 \times 2 \times r$	3	$3^2:Q_8$	$p = 3, P = O_3(C_K(A)) \cong 3^2$
$\mathrm{Sz}(2^r)$	r	$1 (2^2$ *for* $\mathrm{Sz}(2^3))$	$5:4$	$\mid 2^r + 2^{(r-1)/2}\epsilon + 1 \mid_p$

where $\epsilon = 1$ if $r \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 8$ and -1 if $r \equiv \pm 3 \bmod 8$; also an integer on its own stands for the cyclic group of that order.

Moreover, P is abelian and a Sylow p-subgroup of K.

Lemma 2.3. *Let r be a prime and R an elementary abelian r-group that acts coprimely on the quasisimple K-group G. Let p be a prime and suppose that A is an elementary abelian p-group with $1 \neq A \leq O_p(G;R)$. Suppose that V is a faithful irreducible $\mathrm{GF}(p)[RG]$-module. Then*

$$|\, V/C_V(A)\,| > |\, A\,|^2.$$

Proof. Set $\overline{G} = G/Z(G)$, so $\overline{G}$ is simple. By Coprime Action, $C_{\overline{G}}(R) = \overline{C_G(R)}$ so $\overline{O_p(G;R)} \leq O_p(\overline{G};R)$. Now V is irreducible so $O_p(G) = 1$, whence $1 \neq \overline{A} \leq O_p(\overline{G};R)$. Then $\overline{G}$ is one of the groups listed in Lemma 2.2. A result of Guralnick and Malle [7] completes the proof. In fact, only Propositions 4.1, 4.3 and 4.6 of [7] are needed. □

3. The subgroups $O_p(G;R)$

The aim of this section is to prove the following:

Theorem 3.1. *Let r be a prime and R an elementary abelian r-group. Assume that R acts coprimely on the K-group G and that p is a prime. Set $P = O_p(G;R)$.*

(a) *P acts trivially on $\mathrm{comp}_{\mathrm{sol}}(G)$.*
(b) *If $L \in \mathrm{comp}_{\mathrm{sol}}(G)$ and P acts nontrivially on $L/\mathrm{sol}(L)$ then $L/\mathrm{sol}(L)$ is isomorphic to one of $\mathrm{L}_2(2^r)$, $\mathrm{L}_2(3^r)$, $\mathrm{U}_3(2^r)$ or $\mathrm{Sz}(2^r)$.*
(c) *$P \leq O_{sol,E}(G)$ and $P' \leq \mathrm{sol}(G)$.*
(d) *Assume $\mathrm{sol}(G) = 1$ and $G = \langle\, P^G \,\rangle \neq 1$. Then G is the direct product of nonabelian simple groups, each of which is isomorphic to one of $\mathrm{L}_2(2^r)$, $\mathrm{L}_2(3^r)$, $\mathrm{U}_3(2^r)$ or $\mathrm{Sz}(2^r)$. Moreover, P is abelian and a Sylow p-subgroup of G.*

Recall from [3] that a sol-component of G is a perfect subnormal subgroup of G that maps onto a component of $G/\mathrm{sol}(G)$. An R-component of G is the subgroup generated by an orbit of R on $\mathrm{comp}(G)$. The sets of sol-components and R-components of G are denoted by $\mathrm{comp}_{\mathrm{sol}}(G)$ and $\mathrm{comp}_R(G)$ respectively. We will use the results of [3, §6] that describe the structure of R-simple groups and also the results of [4, §5] to analyze $O_p(G;R)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1(a). Set $\overline{G} = G/\mathrm{sol}(G)$. By Coprime Action, $C_{\overline{G}}(R) = \overline{C_G(R)}$ so $\overline{P} \leq O_p(\overline{G};R)$. [3, Lemma 2.2] implies that the map $\mathrm{comp}_{\mathrm{sol}}(G) \longrightarrow \mathrm{comp}(\overline{G})$ defined by $K \mapsto \overline{K}$ is a bijection. Hence we may assume that $\mathrm{sol}(G) = 1$. Apply [4, Lemmas 7.6 and 7.3]. □

Lemma 3.2. *Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $K \in \mathrm{comp}_R(G)$, that $[K,P] \neq 1$ and that $Z(K) = 1$. Then:*

(a) *$P \cap K = O_p(K;R) \neq 1$.*
(b) *Let $R_\infty = \ker(R \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}(\mathrm{comp}(K)))$. Then R_∞ acts nontrivially on each component of K; $O_p(K;R) = O_p(K;R_\infty)$ and $C_K(R_\infty)$ normalizes P.*
(c) *Each component of K is isomorphic to one of $\mathrm{L}_2(2^r)$, $\mathrm{L}_2(3^r)$, $\mathrm{U}_3(2^r)$ or $\mathrm{Sz}(2^r)$.*
(d) *$O_p(K;R)$ is an abelian Sylow p-subgroup of K.*
(e) *$P = C_P(K) \times O_p(K;R)$.*

Proof. (a). Since $[K,P] \neq 1$, [4, Lemma 4.1] implies that $P \cap K \neq 1$. This is in fact a consequence of Lemma 2.1(b). Clearly $P \cap K \leq O_p(K;R)$. Note that $C_G(R)$ acts

on $\mathrm{comp}_R(G)$ by conjugation. Let $K_1, \ldots, K_n$ be the distinct $C_G(R)$-conjugates of K. Set $Q = O_p(K_1; R) \times \cdots \times O_p(K_n; R)$. For each i, $C_G(R) \cap N_G(K_i)$ normalizes $O_p(K_i; R)$. It follows that Q is $RC_G(R)$-invariant. Then $O_p(K; R) \leq Q \cap K \leq P \cap K$, whence $O_p(K; R) = P \cap K$.

(b). [3, Lemma 6.6] implies that the $RC_K(R)$-invariant overdiagonal subgroups of K are R-simple. Since $O_p(K; R) \neq 1$ is not R-simple, it follows that K possesses an $RC_K(R)$-invariant underdiagonal subgroup so [3, Lemma 6.8(c)] implies that R_∞ acts nontrivially on each component of K. [4, Lemma 5.5(b)] implies $O_p(K; R) = O_p(K; R_\infty)$. Let $N_1, \ldots, N_m$ be the components of K. They are permuted transitively by R and $K = N_1 \times \cdots \times N_m$. For each i let $\pi_i : K \longrightarrow N_i$ be the projection map. Now $O_p(K; R_\infty) = O_p(N_1; R_\infty) \times \cdots \times O_p(N_m; R_\infty)$ so using [4, Lemma 5.5(c)] we obtain

$$[P, C_K(R_\infty)] \leq O_p(N_1; R_\infty) \times \cdots \times O_p(N_m; R_\infty) = O_p(K; R_\infty) \leq P.$$

Thus $C_K(R_\infty)$ normalizes P.

(c),(d). We have shown that $O_p(K; R) = O_p(N_1; R_\infty) \times \cdots \times O_p(N_m; R_\infty)$. Lemma 2.2 implies that for all i, N_i is isomorphic to $\mathrm{L}_2(2^r)$, $\mathrm{L}_2(3^r)$, $\mathrm{U}_3(2^r)$ or $\mathrm{Sz}(2^r)$ and that $O_p(N_i; R_\infty)$ is an abelian Sylow p-subgroup of N_i. Thus $O_p(K; R)$ is an abelian Sylow p-subgroup of K.

(e). For each i set $G_i = PN_i$. Theorem 3.1(a) implies that G_i is a subgroup. Set $\overline{G_i} = G_i/\operatorname{sol}(G_i)$. Then $F^*(\overline{G_i}) = \overline{N_i}$ is simple. (b) and Coprime Action imply that $\overline{P} \leq O_p(\overline{G_i}, R_\infty)$ so Lemma 2.2 implies that $\overline{P} \leq \overline{N_i}$. Then $P \leq \operatorname{sol}(G_i)N_i \leq C_{G_i}(N_i) \times N_i$. In particular, P induces inner automorphisms on N_i. Then P induces inner automorphisms on $N_1 \times \cdots \times N_m = K$ and so $P \leq C_G(K) \times K$. The projection of P into K is $RC_K(R)$-invariant and hence is contained in $O_p(K; R)$, which by (a) is contained in P. We deduce that P projects onto $O_p(K; R)$ and then that $P = C_P(K) \times O_p(K; R)$. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1(b). Let $\overline{G} = G/\operatorname{sol}(G)$. As in the proof of (a), $\overline{P} \leq O_p(\overline{G}; R)$. Note that $P \leq N_G(L)$ by (a) and also that $L \cap \operatorname{sol}(G) = Z(L)$. Since L is perfect and $[L, P] \neq 1$ it follows from the Three Subgroups Lemma that $[L, P] \not\leq Z(L)$. Then $[\overline{L}, \overline{P}] \neq 1$. Hence we may assume that $\operatorname{sol}(G) = 1$. Set $K = \langle\, L^R \,\rangle \in \mathrm{comp}_R(G)$. Then $Z(K) = 1$ and $[K, P] \neq 1$. Apply Lemma 3.2(c). □

Proof of Theorem 3.1(d). Since $G = \langle\, P^G \,\rangle$, (a) implies that every R-component of G is normal in G. As $\operatorname{sol}(G) = 1$ we may choose $K \in \mathrm{comp}_R(G)$. Note that $Z(K) \leq \operatorname{sol}(G) = 1$. Lemma 3.2(e) implies that $P = C_P(K) \times (P \cap K)$, then $P \cap K$ is an abelian Sylow p-subgroup of K and that each component of K is isomorphic to $\mathrm{L}_2(2^r)$, $\mathrm{L}_2(3^r)$, $\mathrm{U}_3(2^r)$ or $\mathrm{Sz}(2^r)$. Now $K \trianglelefteq G = \langle\, P^G \,\rangle$ so $P \leq C_G(K) \times K \trianglelefteq G$ whence $G = C_G(K) \times K$; $P = (P \cap C_G(K)) \times (P \cap K)$; $P \cap C_G(K) = O_p(C_G(K); R)$ and $C_G(K) = \langle\, (P \cap C_G(K))^{C_G(K)} \,\rangle$. Apply induction. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1(c). As previously, we may assume that $\operatorname{sol}(G) = 1$. Set $G_0 = \langle\, P^G \,\rangle \trianglelefteq G$ and suppose that $G_0 = G$. By induction we have $P \leq E(G_0) \trianglelefteq E(G)$ and $P' \leq \operatorname{sol}(G_0) \leq \operatorname{sol}(G) = 1$. Hence we may assume that $\langle\, P^G \,\rangle = G$. Apply (d). □

4. Proof of the main theorem

Throughout this section, r is a prime. The reader is referred to [1] for the definition of *nearly quadratic* $2F$*-offender.*

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that R is an elementary abelian r-group that acts coprimely on the K-group G, that p is a prime and that V is a faithful $\mathrm{GF}(p)[RG]$-module. Then $O_p(G;R)$ contains no nearly quadratic $2F$-offender for RG on V.*

Proof. Assume false and let $A \le O_p(G;R)$ be a counterexample. We may suppose that $|G|+|A|+\dim(V)+|R|$ has been minimized. A standard reduction, see the proof of [1, Theorem B], shows that RG is irreducible on V. In particular,

$$O_p(G) = 1.$$

Set $P = O_p(G;R)$. Now $\langle A^{RG} \rangle \trianglelefteq RG$ so $O_p(\langle A^{RG} \rangle) = 1$. Using the minimality of $|G|$ we obtain

$$G = \langle P^G \rangle = \langle A^{RG} \rangle. \tag{1}$$

We claim that if $1 < B < A$ then

$$|C_V(B)/C_V(A)| < |A/B|^2. \tag{2}$$

Indeed, since we are studying a counterexample we have $|V/C_V(A)| \le |A|^2$. On the other hand, the minimality of $|A|$ implies $|V/C_V(B)| > |B|^2$. Then (2) follows on division.

Let N be a minimal R-invariant normal subgroup of G chosen minimal subject to $[N,A] \ne 1$. Note that N exists because $A \not\le O_p(Z(G)) = 1$. Suppose that $N \ne O^p(N)$. Then $[O^p(N), A] = 1$ and (1) implies that $O^p(N) \le Z(G)$. In particular, N is nilpotent. Then $O_p(N) \le O_p(G) = 1$ whence $N = O^p(N)$, a contradiction. We deduce that $N = O^p(N)$.

We claim that $G = PN$. Assume false and set $G_0 = PN$. Let $B = A \cap O_p(G_0)$. Now $[O_p(G_0), N] \le O_p(N) \le O_p(G) = 1$ so using the minimality of $|G|$ and the fact that $[N,A] \ne 1$, we have $1 < B < A$. Set

$$U = C_V(O_p(G_0)).$$

Now $N = O^p(N)$ and $[O_p(G_0), N] = 1$ so the $P \times Q$-Lemma implies that N is faithful on U. As $G_0 = PN$ it follows that $C_{G_0}(U)$ is a p-group and then that

$$C_{G_0}(U) = O_p(G_0).$$

The minimality of $|G|$ implies that $|A/B|^2 < |U/C_U(A)|$. Then (2) yields

$$|C_V(B)/C_V(A)| < |U/C_U(A)|.$$

However $U \le C_V(B)$ so $|U/C_U(A)| \le |C_V(B)/C_V(A)|$, a contradiction. We deduce that $G = PN$.

Suppose that $[\mathrm{sol}(G), A] \ne 1$. Then we could have chosen N with $N \le \mathrm{sol}(G)$, whence $G = P\,\mathrm{sol}(G)$ and G is solvable. But then [1, Theorem B] supplies a contradiction. Thus $[\mathrm{sol}(G), A] = 1$ and then (1) forces

$$\mathrm{sol}(G) = Z(G).$$

Set $\overline{G} = G/Z(G)$. Then $\overline{P} = O_p(\overline{G};R), \mathrm{sol}(\overline{G}) = 1$ and $\overline{G} = \langle \overline{P}^{\overline{G}} \rangle$. Theorem 3.1(d) implies that $\overline{G}$ is the direct product of simple groups and that $\overline{P}$ is an abelian Sylow p-subgroup of $\overline{G}$. Choosing N to be an R-component of G it follows that $\overline{G}$ is R-simple. Let $R_\infty = \ker(R \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}(\mathrm{comp}(G)))$. Lemma 3.2(b) implies that $\overline{P} = O_p(\overline{G}; R_\infty)$. Then as $\overline{G} = G/Z(G)$ we have $P = O_p(G;R_\infty)$ and the minimality of $|R|$ forces $R = R_\infty$. In particular, $\overline{G}$ is simple. We have $\overline{G} = \overline{G}'$ so $G = Z(G)G' = \langle P^G \rangle$. As $Z(G)$ is a p'-group we see that $P \le G'$ whence $G = G'$

and G is quasisimple. Lemma 2.3 implies that $|\, V/C_V(A)\,| > |\, A\,|^2$, contrary to A being a $2F$-offender for RG on V. The proof is complete. □

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose R is an elementary abelian r-group that acts coprimely on the K-group G. Suppose that $F^*(G) = O_p(G)$ for some prime p. Let V be an elementary abelian normal subgroup of $O_p(G;R)$. Then either:*

- *$V \leq O_p(G)$, or*
- *there exists $A \leq O_p(G;R)$ such that A acts nontrivially and nearly quadratically on V and*

$$|\, V/C_V(A)\,| \leq |\, A/C_A(V)\,|^2.$$

Proof. Set $P = O_p(G;R)$ and $G_0 = \langle\, V^{RG}\,\rangle \trianglelefteq G$. Then $F^*(G_0) = O_p(G_0) \leq O_p(G)$ and $P \cap G_0 = O_p(G_0;R)$. Hence we may suppose that $G = G_0$. Then

$$G = \langle\, V^{RG}\,\rangle = \langle\, P^G\,\rangle.$$

Set

$$\overline{G} = G/O_p(G).$$

Claim 1. *$P \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(G)$ and $\overline{V}$ is normal in every Sylow p-subgroup of $\overline{G}$ in which it is contained.*

Proof. Set $G_1 = PO_{p.p'}(G)$. Then $F^*(G_1) = O_p(G_1)$ and $P \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(G_1)$, since $O_p(G) \leq P$. Set $\overline{Y} = O_{p'}(\overline{G})$. Now $\overline{G_1} = \overline{P}\,\overline{Y}$ and $\overline{V} \trianglelefteq \overline{P}$. In particular, $C_{\overline{Y}}(\overline{V})$ acts transitively on the set of Sylow p-subgroups of $\overline{G_1}$ that contain $\overline{V}$. Consequently, $\overline{V}$ is normal in every Sylow p-subgroup of $\overline{G_1}$ in which it is contained. Hence if $G = G_1$ then the claim holds.

Suppose $G_1 \neq G$. Since G_1 is $C_G(R)$-invariant it follows that $P = O_p(G_1;R)$. By induction, we may suppose that $V \leq O_p(G_1)$. Then V centralizes $O_{p'}(G/O_p(G))$. As $G = \langle\, V^{RG}\,\rangle$ it follows that G centralizes $\mathrm{sol}(G/O_p(G))$, whence $\mathrm{sol}(\overline{G}) = Z(\overline{G})$. Note that $Z(\overline{G})$ is a p'-group and that $\overline{P} = O_p(\overline{G};R)$.

Put $G^* = \overline{G}/\mathrm{sol}(\overline{G})$. Then $\mathrm{sol}(G^*) = 1$ and $G^* = \langle\, P^{*G^*}\,\rangle$. As $\mathrm{sol}(\overline{G}) = Z(\overline{G})$ it follows from Coprime Action that $P^* = O_p(G^*;R)$. Theorem 3.1(d) implies that P^* is an abelian Sylow p-subgroup of G^*. Since $\mathrm{sol}(\overline{G})$ is a p'-subgroup it follows that $\overline{P}$ is an abelian Sylow p-subgroup of $\overline{G}$. In particular, $\overline{V}$ is normal in every Sylow p-subgroup of $\overline{G}$ in which it is contained. As $O_p(G) \leq P$ we have $P \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(G)$ and the claim is established. □

Choose $\overline{G_2}$ minimal subject to

$$\overline{V} \leq \overline{G_2} \leq \overline{G} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{V} \not\leq O_p(\overline{G_2}).$$

Choose $\overline{Q} \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(\overline{G})$ with $\overline{V} \leq \overline{Q} \cap \overline{G_2} \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(\overline{G_2})$. Claim 1 implies $\overline{V} \trianglelefteq \overline{P}$ and $\overline{V} \trianglelefteq \overline{Q}$. Hence there exists $\overline{n} \in N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{V})$ with $\overline{Q}^{\overline{n}} = \overline{P}$. Replacing $\overline{G_2}$ with $\overline{G_2}^{\overline{n}}$ we may suppose that

$$\overline{P} \cap \overline{G_2} \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(\overline{G_2}).$$

Let G_2 be the full inverse image of $\overline{G_2}$ in G and let $G_3 = \langle\, V^{G_2}\,\rangle \trianglelefteq G_2$. In particular, $V \not\leq O_p(G_3)$. Now $P \cap G_2 \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(G_2)$ whence $P \cap G_3 \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(G_3)$.

Claim 2. *For each M with $V \leq M < G_3$, it follows that $V \leq O_p(M)$.*

Proof. If $\overline{M} < \overline{G_2}$ then this follows from the choice of G_2, so suppose $\overline{M} = \overline{G_2}$. Then $G_2 = O_p(G)M$. Since $V \leq M$ and $O_p(G)$ normalizes V we have $\langle\, V^{G_2} \,\rangle \leq M$ so $G_3 \leq M$, a contradiction. The claim is established. □

Since $V \not\leq O_p(G_3)$, Claim 2 and Wielandt's Maximizer Lemma imply that V is contained in a unique maximal subgroup M of G_3. Then $V \leq O_p(M)$. Now $O_p(G_3) \leq P$ so $O_p(G_3)$ normalizes V. Apply [1, Lemma 3.1] to get the required subgroup A. □

Given a group M and a prime p, the subgroup Y_M is defined by

$$Y_M = \langle\, \Omega_1(Z(S)) \mid S \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(M) \,\rangle.$$

Clearly, Y_M char M. If in addition M has characteristic p then $Y_M \leq \Omega_1(Z(O_p(M)))$ and so Y_M may be regarded as a $\mathrm{GF}(p)[M]$-module. A well-known property of Y_M is that $O_p(M/C_M(Y_M)) = 1$, see [1].

We are now in a position to prove Theorem A, following closely arguments from [1]. Theorem A follows readily from the following slightly stronger result.

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose that M and S are subgroups of the group G, that R_m and R_s are elementary abelian groups that act coprimely on M and S respectively. Assume that:*

- *M and S have characteristic p for some prime p.*
- *$O_p(M; R_m) = O_p(S; R_s)$.*
- *$C_S(Y_M) \leq M$.*
- *M and S are K-groups.*

Set

$$P = O_p(M)O_p(S).$$

Then the following hold:

(a) *If $O_p(M)$ is abelian then $J(P) = J(O_p(M))$.*
(b) *$J(P) = J(O_p(S))$.*

Proof. Note that $O_p(M) \leq O_p(M; R_m) = O_p(S; R_s) \leq S$ and similarly $O_p(S) \leq M$. Then $O_p(M)$ and $O_p(S)$ normalize each other and P is a subgroup.

Claim 1. *Suppose V is an elementary abelian characteristic p-subgroup of M with $O_p(M/C_M(V)) = 1$. If $A \leq O_p(M; R_m)$ acts nontrivially and nearly quadratically on V then*

$$|\, A/C_A(V) \,|^2 < |\, V/C_V(A) \,|.$$

Moreover $[V, J(P)] = 1$.

Proof. Set $\overline{M} = M/C_M(V)$, so $O_p(M) = 1$. If the inequality is violated then $\overline{A}$ is a nearly quadratic $2F$-offender for $\overline{M}$ on V. But $\overline{A} \leq O_p(\overline{M}; R_m)$ so Theorem 4.1 supplies a contradiction.

Suppose that $[V, J(P)] \neq 1$. Thompson's Replacement Theorem, see [1, Theorem 2.4], implies there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ with A acting nontrivially and quadratically on V. Since $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ we have $|\, V/C_V(A) \,| \leq |\, A/C_A(V) \,|$, which contradicts the inequality. □

Proof of (a). Assume that $O_p(M)$ is abelian and put $V = \Omega_1(O_p(M))$. By Coprime Action, $O^p(C_M(V)) \leq C_M(O_p(M)) = O_p(M)$ whence $C_M(V) = O_p(M)$ and $O_p(M/C_M(V)) = 1$. By Claim 1, $J(P) \leq C_M(V) = O_p(M) \leq P$ whence $J(P) = J(O_p(M))$. □

Put

$$W = \langle\, Y_M^{\mathrm{Aut}(S)} \,\rangle.$$

Claim 2. *$Y_M \trianglelefteq O_p(S)$ and W is elementary abelian.*

Proof. Put $V = Y_M$. Then $O_p(M/C_M(V)) = 1$ by [1, Lemma 2.2]. Now $V \trianglelefteq O_p(M; R_m) = O_p(S; R_s)$. Lemma 4.2, with S in the role of G, and Claim 1 imply $V \leq O_p(S)$. Since $O_p(S) \leq O_p(S; R_s)$, we have $V \trianglelefteq O_p(S)$.

Suppose that W is nonabelian. Then there exists $a \in \mathrm{Aut}(S)$ with $[V, V^a] \neq 1$. Also $[V, V^a, V^a] \leq [V \cap V^a, V^a] = 1$. In particular, V^a acts nontrivially and quadratically on V. Claim 1 implies that

$$|\, V^a/C_{V^a}(V) \,| < |\, V/C_V(V^a) \,|.$$

Moreover, $[V^{a^{-1}}, V] \neq 1$ whence

$$|\, V^{a^{-1}}/C_{V^{a^{-1}}}(V) \,| < |\, V/C_V(V^{a^{-1}}) \,|.$$

Conjugating the second inequality by a contradicts the first. □

Let

$$Q = O_p(S \bmod C_S(W)).$$

Claim 3. *$P \cap Q \leq O_p(S)$.*

Proof. Choose T with $P \cap Q \leq T \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(Q)$. Then $S = QN_S(T) = C_S(W)N_S(T) = (M \cap S)N_S(T)$ because $C_S(W) \leq C_S(Y_M) \leq M$. Now $P \cap Q$ is normalized by $M \cap S$ because $P = O_p(M)O_p(S) \trianglelefteq M \cap S$, whence $\langle\, (P \cap Q)^S \,\rangle = \langle\, (P \cap Q)^{N_S(T)} \,\rangle \leq T$ and so $P \cap Q \leq O_p(S)$. □

Claim 4. *$[W, J(P)] = 1$.*

Proof. Assume false. By the Replacement Theorem, there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ such that A acts nontrivially and quadratically on W.

Suppose that $A \leq Q$. Claim 3 implies that $A \leq O_p(S)$ whence $A \leq J(O_p(S))$. Since $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ it follows that $J(O_p(S)) \leq J(P)$. By Claim 1, $[Y_M, J(P)] = 1$ whence $[Y_M, J(O_p(S))] = 1$. As $W = \langle\, Y_M^{\mathrm{Aut}(S)} \,\rangle$ we obtain $[W, J(O_p(S))] = 1$ and then $[W, A] = 1$, a contradiction. We deduce that $A \not\leq Q$.

Set

$$A_0 = A \cap Q.$$

By Claim 3, $A_0 \leq O_p(S)$ and $C_A(W) \leq O_p(S) \leq Q$ so

$$C_A(W) = C_{A_0}(W).$$

Let $q = q(Y_M, O_p(S))$. The reader is referred to [1] or [8] for the definition of this parameter. Since $O_p(S) \leq O_p(S; R_s) = O_p(M; R_m)$, Claim 1 implies

$$q > 2.$$

Now $[Y_M, A_0, A_0] = 1$ because A is quadratic on W. Applying [1, Lemma 2.5], we obtain

$$|\, A_0/C_{A_0}(W) \,|^q \leq |\, W/C_W(A_0) \,|. \tag{1}$$

As $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ we have $|\, A \,| \geq |\, WC_A(W) \,|$ so

$$|\, A/C_A(W) \,| \geq |\, W/C_W(A) \,|. \tag{2}$$

Raising (2) to the power q, dividing by (1) and using $C_A(W) = C_{A_0}(W)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} |\, A/A_0 \,|^q &\geq |\, W/C_W(A) \,|^{q-1} |\, C_W(A_0)/C_W(A) \,| \\ &\geq |\, W/C_W(A) \,|^{q-1} \end{aligned}$$

because $A_0 \leq A$. Then

$$|\, A/A_0 \,|^{1+1/(q-1)} \geq |\, W/C_W(A) \,|.$$

Since $q > 2$ and $A_0 < A$ we obtain

$$|\, A/A_0 \,|^2 > |\, W/C_W(A) \,|.$$

Thus $A/C_A(W)$ is a nearly quadratic $2F$-offender for $S/C_S(W)$ on W. Since $A \leq O_p(S; R_s)$, Theorem 4.1 supplies a contradiction. Hence $[W, J(P)] = 1$. □

Claims 3 and 4 imply $J(P) \leq O_p(S)$. Since $O_p(S) \leq P$ it follows that $J(P) = J(O_p(S))$ and the proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem A. Assume false. Two applications of the previous theorem yield $J(O_p(M_1)) = J(O_p(M_1)O_p(M_2)) = J(O_p(M_2))$. Since $J(O_p(M_i)) \trianglelefteq M_i$, this gives a contradiction since no nontrivial subgroup of $M_1 \cap M_2$ can be normal in both M_1 and M_2 by the definition of a weak primitive pair. □

References

1. P. Flavell, *Primitive pairs of p-solvable groups,* J. Algebra **324** (2010) 841–859
2. P. Flavell, *A new proof of the Solvable Signalizer Functor Theorem,* J. Algebra **398** (2014) 350-363
3. P. Flavell, *Automorphisms of K-groups I,* Preprint http://arxiv.org/abs/1609.01969
4. P. Flavell, *Automorphisms of K-groups II,* Preprint http://arxiv.org/abs/1609.02380
5. P. Flavell, *An equivariant analogue of Glauberman's ZJ-Theorem,* J. Algebra **257**(2) (2002) 249–264
6. G. Glauberman, *Prime power factor groups of finite groups II,* Math. Z. **117**(1970) 46–56
7. R.M. Guralnick and G. Malle, *Classification of $2F$-modules, I,* J. Algebra **257** (2002) 348–372
8. H. Kurzweil and B. Stellmacher, *The theory of finite groups. An introduction.* Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York 2004.
9. U. Meierfrankenfeld and B. Stellmacher, *F-stability in finite groups,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **361** (2009), no. 5, 2509–2525.
10. U. Meierfrankenfeld and B. Stellmacher, *Nearly quadratic modules,* J. Algebra **319** no. 11 (2008), 4798–4843.

The School of Mathematics, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, Great Britain

E-mail address: P.J.Flavell@bham.ac.uk